**Rules for Pitch Perfect:**

1. A **single** PowerPoint slide is permitted. Simple animations are allowed if preferred. However, **all information presented must fit into a single slide**, without overlaps
2. Presentation is strictly limited to **3 minutes**. Participants who exceed 3 minutes will be disqualified
3. Presentation commence once the presenter starts their presentation through speech or movement
4. Presentation should focus on the science while ensuring that the audience from various scientific fields are able to adequately grasp the concept and significance of the project
5. No additional electronic media (e.g. audio or video files) are allowed
6. No additional props (e.g. costumes, musical instruments, laboratory equipment) are allowed
7. Presentations are to be spoken words (i.e. poems, raps & songs are not allowed)
8. The decision of the judging panel is final
9. PowerPoint slides are to be submitted by **6pm 25th of May (Monday)** to ensure that all presentation guidelines are fulfilled. Please upload your presentation at the following link:

<https://forms.office.com/Pages/ResponsePage.aspx?id=Xu-lWwkxd06Fvc_rDTR-ggEgFIFHugxFpjYc2qS93x9UQkxFUkVEWTRFWk1FOFg2SVBZQTBWWUhXUC4u>

**Judging Criteria:**

The judging criteria used by the judges for the Pitch Perfect 2020 3MT will be as follows:

1. Presentation skills
2. Contents of the slide (Appropriate, clear, legible, and concise)
3. Ability to communicate thesis topic, research significance, results/impact of outcomes clearly
4. Language used: not too many scientific jargons or terminologies used
5. Understanding of the project conveyed in presentation
6. Ability to answer questions

A minimum of 1 point (Very Poor) and a maximum of 5 points (Excellent) can be awarded for each judging criteria. The total points awarded to each entry by the judges will be added up and the entries will be ranked accordingly.

**Additional References:**

The judging criteria for NUS 3MT is identical to those used in national and international 3MT competitions. It is summarized below for your reference when preparing for the presentation:

1. Did the presentation provide an understanding of the background to the research question being addressed and its significance?
2. Did the presentation clearly describe the key results of the research including conclusions and outcomes?
3. Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?
4. Was the thesis topic, key results and research significance and outcomes communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience?
5. Did the speaker avoid scientific jargon, explain terminology and provide adequate background information to illustrate points?
6. Did the presenter spend adequate time on each element of their presentation – or did they elaborate for too long on one aspect or was the presentation rushed?

*Engagement & Communication*

1. Did the oration make the audience want to know more?
2. Was the presenter careful not to generalize their research?
3. Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their research?
4. Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience’s attention?
5. Did the speaker have sufficient stage presence, eye contact and vocal range; maintain a steady pace, and have a confident stance?
6. Did the PowerPoint slide enhance the presentation – was it clear, legible, and concise?