

Communication



Machine Learning-Guided Prediction of Central Anterior Chamber Depth Using Slit Lamp Images from a Portable Smartphone Device

David Chen 1,*, Yvonne Ho², Yuki Sasa², Jieying Lee², Ching Chiuan Yen^{2,3} and Clement Tan^{1,4}

- ¹ Department of Ophthalmology, National University Hospital, Singapore 119228, Singapore; clement_wt_tan@nuhs.edu.sg
- ² Keio-NUS CUTE Center, Smart Systems Institute, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117602, Singapore; yvonne.ho@nus.edu.sg (Y.H.); yukisasa@keio.jp (Y.S.); idmleej@nus.edu.sg (J.L.); didyc@nus.edu.sg (C.C.Y.)
- ³ Division of Industrial Design, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117356, Singapore
- ⁴ Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117599, Singapore
- * Correspondence: david_chen@nuhs.edu.sg; Tel.: +65-(67)-725317

Abstract: There is currently no objective portable screening modality for narrow angles in the community. In this prospective, single-centre image validation study, we used machine learning on slit lamp images taken with a portable smartphone device (MIDAS) to predict the central anterior chamber depth (ACD) of phakic patients with undilated pupils. Patients 60 years or older with no history of laser or intraocular surgery were recruited. Slit lamp images were taken with MIDAS, followed by anterior segment optical coherence tomography (ASOCT; Casia SS-1000, Tomey, Nagoya, Japan). After manual annotation of the anatomical landmarks of the slit lamp photos, machine learning was applied after image processing and feature extraction to predict the ACD. These values were then compared with those acquired from the ASOCT. Sixty-six eyes (right = 39, 59.1%) were included for analysis. The predicted ACD values formed a strong positive correlation with the measured ACD values from ASOCT ($R^2 = 0.91$ for training data and $R^2 = 0.73$ for test data). This study suggests the possibility of estimating central ACD using slit lamp images taken from portable devices.

Keywords: narrow angle; screening; portable; machine learning; smartphone

1. Introduction

Angle closure glaucoma is a cause of major visual impairment in Asia; 87% of patients with angle closure glaucoma globally are Asian [1]. The prevalence of patients with all occludable angles (including primary angle closure and primary angle closure glaucoma) is estimated to be 6.3% in Singaporeans aged 40 years old and above [2], of whom 79% may not have been diagnosed before [3]. Age and sex standardized incidence of acute angle closure glaucoma (AACG) was reported as being up to 15.5 cases/100,000 personyears among Chinese Singaporeans [4,5]. In addition, only 25–35% of AACG in Asian people cause symptoms [3,6,7]. The direct cost of treatment for AACG in Singapore has been estimated to be between US\$879.45 to US\$2576.39 over five years [8].

The advent of anterior segment optical coherence tomography imaging (ASOCT) has made anterior chamber assessment both quantitative and objective. There has been a rapid development and maturation of OCT imaging technology over the past two decades, and it has seen a significant increase in clinical applications in anterior segment conditions, from cornea to dry eyes to glaucoma assessment [9]. Common parameters used to describe the features of the anterior chamber angle include the angle opening distance (AOD), the anterior chamber area (ACA), and the trabecular-iris space area (TISA), with

Citation: Chen, D.; Ho, Y.; Sasa, Y.; Lee, J.; Yen, C.C.; Tan, C. Machine Learning-Guided Prediction of Central Anterior Chamber Depth Using Slit Lamp Images from a Portable Smartphone Device. *Biosensors* **2021**, *11*, 182. https:// doi.org/10.3390/bios11060182

Received: 31 March 2021 Accepted: 2 June 2021 Published: 5 June 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). AOD750 being the best performer in a community-based screening study [10]. However, ASOCT machines are expensive and bulky, and may only be available in specialist eye clinics.

Artificial intelligence (AI) has seen tremendous breakthroughs in ophthalmic imaging in recent years [11], especially with respect to fundal imaging for the diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy [12,13], age-related macular degeneration [14,15], and glaucoma [16]. Machine learning techniques have also been employed more recently to ASOCT to automatically detect patients at risk of gonioscopic angle closure [17–19]. This provides the opportunity to automatically and conveniently screen patients at risk of angle closure disease in high-risk populations in lieu of the labour-intensive gonioscopy. However, there are limited options available for standardized angle screening using portable devices. In this study, we describe our exploration using machine learning to predict anterior chamber depth (ACD) through slit lamp images taken with a portable smartphone slit lamp device (MIDAS; Figure 1).



Figure 1. Sample of a MIDAS device in use.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patient Recruitment and Image Capture

Here, 70 eyes of 70 patients were recruited from June 2018 to February 2019. After excluding two eyes because of poor image quality and two because of inadequate ASOCT images, a total of 66 eyes (right = 39, 59.1%) were included.

This was a prospective, single centre clinic-based, digital imaging validation study. Prospective patients in the outpatient eye clinic were identified by a research assistant prior to their visit, and were recruited after informed consent at the end of their consultation. The inclusion criteria of these patients were as follows:

- Willing and able to participate in study
- Be at least 60 years old (inclusive)
- Had not had prior intraocular surgery or laser procedures to the eye
- Be fit enough for keep eyes open for adequate image acquisition
- Not have concurrent eye pathologies that may obscure photo-taking of the eye
- Not have previous laser or surgical glaucoma interventions

The research followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional domain specific review board (DSRB). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects after an explanation of the purpose and possible consequences of the study.

2.2. Image Capture Protocol

After acquiring informed consent, patients were brought to another room for sequential image capture with the following set-up, as described below.

- Set-up A: Smartphone (Samsung[®] Galaxy S7, Seoul, South Korea) with a MIDAS portable slit lamp mount prototype.
- Set-up B: Corneal anterior segment non-invasive three-dimensional swept source imaging system (Tomey[®] SS-1000 CASIA ASOCT, Nagoya, Japan).

Set-up A was performed in a dimmed room to simulate mesopic conditions similar, but not identical, to those used for van Herick grading [20]. The MIDAS device is a light-weight portable slit lamp prototype that utilizes a smartphone camera to capture anterior segment images. It is a non-contact device that comprises a light-emitting diode (LED) module fitted behind an optical slit and achromatic condensing lenses to produce an incident light of 45°, with the beam measuring 1 mm wide by 15 mm tall. It contains a clamp that could be fitted to most regular sized smartphones and is powered by the smartphone's battery (Figure 1). When assembled with a compatible smartphone, the set-up provides 10× image magnification through a macro lens and focuses at a distance of 21 mm. The MIDAS device is currently not commercially available.

A standardized image capture protocol is performed using Set-up A, including image capture in the same room under identical mesopic conditions. With the patient looking forward, the device is advanced squarely towards the eye of interest, parallel to the frontal plane of patient's eye. The incident light beam is then focused over the anterior iris surface in the mid-peripheral iris (Figure 2, left). Care is taken to ensure the light beam is not too central (where it would be interrupted by the pupil) or too peripheral (where it might be obscured by the peripheral arcus). Once focused, an anterior segment slit lamp image is captured using the smartphone camera app, and the resultant file is saved as a camera Raw image on the smartphone (Figure 2, left). Depending on patient cooperation, multiple images may be captured in approximately 1 mm steps from nasal to temporal, and the best image would be selected by the performing technician. For the purposes of this study, the corneal curvature was assumed to be prolate ellipsoid; no formal keratometry or corneal topography was performed.

Following image capture of the undilated eye(s), patients were moved to the adjacent room for ASOCT capture using Set-up B. If both eyes were eligible, non-mydriatic images were captured from both eyes, but only one eye was selected for analysis – by default, the eye with an image of a better quality was selected. Through this, two sets of images of the same eye were captured — anterior segment slit lamp images from set-up A (Figure 2, left) and ASOCT images from set-up B (Figure 2, right).



Figure 2. A sample image obtained from the MIDAS instrument and its corresponding anterior segment optical coherence tomography image.

Sixteen equally-spaced angle images (eight meridians) per eye were manually marked by a grader to identify the scleral spur for the automatic calculation of the relevant anterior chamber parameters [21]. Separately, de-identified ASOCT images from Set-up B

were annotated manually using CASIA software by a single grader. The relevant anterior chamber parameters were extracted from ASOCT and can be used as the reference for training and testing the machine learning model. These include, but are not limited to, the following: angle opening distance at 500 μ m (AOD500), trabecular-iris space area at 500 μ m (TISA500), angle recess area (ARA), and central anterior chamber depth (ACD).

2.3. Image Feature Extraction and Application of Machine Learning Techniques

The Van Herick method is a technique to screen for angle closure based on the width of the corneal slit image and slit image on the iris generated by the slit lamp. Using the same principles behind the Van Herick method, the width between the corneal and iris slit in the slit lamp images obtained from the smartphone (Figure 2, left) can also be used to relate to the angle closure and ACD. These dimensions from the slit lamp image captured by the smartphone and the corresponding ACD obtained from the patient's ASOCT image (Figure 2, right) were used to train the machine-learning model.

The images captured on the smartphone camera were processed by independent operators with no prior knowledge of patients' medical condition. Adobe Illustrator (version CC 2019) was used to extract the dimensions related to ACD by measuring the distance, in pixels, between various landmarks of the image. The landmarks were kept consistent for all of the eye images and were annotated for future reference.

The various dimensions extracted from the smartphone camera image and the corresponding ACD values from the ASOCT images were then used to train a Random Forest Regression model to predict ACD. Random Forest [22] uses multiple decision trees generated using bootstrapping, where a randomly selected subset of data is used to train an individual decision tree. The outputs of the individual decision trees were then aggregated to get the output of the model.

The Random Forest model was trained using the entire sample set. However, the estimate of the error was evaluated using out-of-bag data. Out-of-bag data for a tree refers to data that were not used to generate that particular decision tree. The out-of-bag data for each tree was used as test data, and these predicted values were aggregated for every sample data point when it was an out-of-bag sample.

For our Random Forest regression model, the number of trees used was 11, with a minimum leaf sample of 1. For each decision tree, the data were randomly split into 0.6 of the samples used as the training subset and 0.4 of the samples as out-of-bag data, which were then used to estimate the error.

For regression, there are several loss functions that can be employed, such as mean square error, mean absolute error, and structural similarity index [23,24]. The loss function, or error criterion, used in training was mean absolute error (MAE), which is given by Equation (1),

$$MAE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i - \hat{y}_i|}{n}$$
(1)

where *n* is the total number of samples, y_i the observed data, and \hat{y}_i the predicted data.

These hyperparameters and loss functions were chosen to minimize out-of-bag error scores (out-of-bag error score = 1–coefficient of determination) which reflects the model ability to generalize. The Random Forest model was implemented using Scikit-learn (ver 0.23.2).

3. Results

Figure 3 shows the relationship between the Random Forest model predicted central ACD values and their corresponding ASOCT-measured central ACD. The values predicted by the training data inputs had a positive linear correlation with a coefficient of correlation R² of 0.91 which gave the training error. The out-of-bag sample data R² was 0.73. Tuning the model hyperparameters to increase the training data R² would result in higher out-of-bag data prediction errors due to overfitting.



Figure 3. Training data validation. Central anterior chamber depth (ACD) values predicted from the training data vs. actual ACD from ASOCT.

The root mean square error (RMSE), given by Equation (2), is used to compare the training set and out-of-bag set.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n}}$$
(2)

where *n* is the total number of samples, y_i the observed data, and \hat{y}_i the predicted data. The RMSE of the training and out-of-bag data are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Metrics of the training and out-of-bag data set.

	Training Set	Out-of-Bag Samples
Coefficient of Correlation, R ²	0.91	0.73
Bias	542.85	955.18
RMSE	122.33	200.03

Figure 4 shows the Bland–Altman plot of the predicted ACD values from the training data and out-of-bag data, against ACD obtained from ASOCT. Most of the predicted values were within 200 μ m of the actual ACD from ASOCT. The model tended to overestimate the samples with smaller ACD, and underestimate the value of the samples of samples with larger ACDs.



Figure 4. Bland–Altman plot showing ASOCT-derived ACD and predicted values from the training inputs and the out-of-bag samples.

The features importance, which is calculated based on Gini-impurity, are given in Table 2. The input features are derived from dimensions measured between various land-marks of the eye image, which was captured on a smartphone with a portable slit lamp.

Dimensions	Feature Importance (Normalized)	
A	0.15	
В	0.13	
С	0.18	
D	0.16	
Ε	0.16	
F	0.10	
G	0.11	

Table 2. Features importance (normalized) calculated based on Gini-impurity.

4. Discussion

This pilot study demonstrated that using images captured from a portable slit lamp device, it was possible to use machine learning to predict the central ACD of our patients. To our knowledge, this is the first study of its kind using machine learning on a mobile slit lamp device.

Angle closure glaucoma is a cause of major irreversible visual loss in Asia [1]. However, patients with this condition are typically asymptomatic, making the identification of this condition difficult in the community. The diagnosis of angle closure disease usually requires clinical examination with a slit lamp, with gonioscopy and additional anterior segment imaging including ASOCT. Subjective clinical assessment such as van Herick grading has only moderate repeatability in trained graders [25,26]. In addition, there is currently no objective screening modality in the community—healthcare practitioners could use a pen torch or handheld slit lamp, but these assessment methods are subjective and are also dependent on clinical experience. Machine learning has previously been applied to ASOCT to automatically measure anterior chamber features with variable success [17–19]. However, ASOCT machines are bulky and expensive, making them unsuitable for deployment in a community-based setting. The van Herick method has been proposed as a simple triage test before gonioscopy [27], but it has been reported to have varying sensitivities and specificities, with the lowest being 53% [28] and 57% [6], respectively. In addition, the van Herick method is technically harder to perform in a mobile setting using a portable slit lamp. Screening with a pen torch would be expected to have even poorer sensitivities and specificities.

Our novel portable slit lamp could be used with most smartphones of regular dimensions, and with this pilot study, there is promise of an objective AI-driven diagnostic capability for angle screening, for the first time made for a portable device. Patients who are identified to be at risk of angle closure disease with this technology could then be referred to a tertiary eye centre for further evaluation of possible angle closure. Further studies would be required to refine the AI algorithm to predict other relevant anterior chamber parameters and to define thresholds for making recommendations for further formal angle closure assessment.

In this exploratory study, we were limited by the small sample size (n = 66). The outof-bag sample prediction R² was 0.73 with a bias of 955.18, which may be due to the small sample size. Manual marking of image landmarks by human graders could also introduce inaccuracies. To improve the model, a larger sample size is needed, with a better-balanced distribution of central ACD values, and the Random Forest hyperparameters need to be tuned again. Other machine learning methods for regression, such as neural networks, can also be studied and evaluated with the aim of improving prediction outcomes. Automatic feature extraction using image processing methods could be employed to reduce inter- and intra-operator errors in the manual extraction of features from images obtained by smartphones.

The prediction is currently limited to central ACD, but the prediction of other anterior chamber parameters (AOD500, TISA500, ACA, etc.) would be expected in subsequent studies of larger sample sizes. In addition, not all patients underwent gonioscopy for this study, as the clinicians attending to the patients were not directly involved in this study and thus there was no standardized comprehensive angle assessment. However, the aim of this study was to predict central ACD in all patients and, in this regard, the classification of narrow angles was of secondary importance. Lastly, this study was performed on a uniformly East Asian population (all patients were Chinese) aged 60 years and above, thus the results may not be extrapolated to other dissimilar populations. More studies would be required to refine the model and compare it with current clinical standards of community-based angle screening.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a new method of predicting central ACD using a portable smartphone slit lamp device aided by machine learning.

Author Contributions: conceptualization, D.C. and C.T.; methodology, D.C., Y.S., and Y.H.; software, Y.S., Y.H., and J.L.; writing—original draft preparation, D.C. and Y.H.; writing—review and editing, D.C., C.T., Y.H., and C.C.Y.; supervision, C.T. and C.C.Y.; project administration, C.T. and C.C.Y.; funding acquisition, D.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by the NUHS Clinician Scientist Program (NCSP) Residency Grant and by the National Research Foundation, Singapore, under its International Research Centres in Singapore Funding Initiative. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views of National Research Foundation, Singapore.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the NHG Domain Specific Review Board (DSRB) 2017/00608 on 24 August 2017.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to institutional restrictions.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the following research assistants: Eunice Chew, Christina Ng, and Si Wang, and research associate David L.A. Tolley. The authors would also like to acknowledge the contributions of the Yang Yu, Chee Yuen Cheng, and Soon Yong Saw from Ngee Ann Polytechnic in the development of MIDAS prototype.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no competing interests. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Yip, J.L.Y.; Foster, P.J. Ethnic differences in primary angle-closure glaucoma. *Curr. Opin. Ophthalmol.* 2006, 17, 175–180, doi:10.1097/01.icu.0000193078.47616.aa.
- 2. Foster, P.J. Glaucoma in China: How big is the problem? *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 2001, *85*, 1277–1282, doi:10.1136/bjo.85.11.1277.
- Foster, P.J.; Oen, F.T.S.; Machin, D.; Ng, T.-P.; Devereux, J.G.; Johnson, G.J.; Khaw, P.T.; Seah, S.K.L. The Prevalence of Glaucoma in Chinese Residents of Singapore: A Cross-Sectional Population Survey of the Tanjong Pagar District. *Arch. Ophthalmol.* 2000, 118, 1105–1111.
- Seah, S.K.L.; Foster, P.J.; Chew, P.T.K.; Jap, A.; Oen, F.; Fam, H.B.; Lim, A.S.M. Incidence of Acute Primary Angle-closure Glaucoma in Singapore. Arch. Ophthalmol. 1997, 115, 1436–1440, doi:10.1001/archopht.1997.01100160606014.
- Wong, T.Y.; Foster, P.J.; Seah, S.K.L.; Chew, P.T.K. Rates of hospital admissions for primary angle closure glaucoma among Chinese, Malays, and Indians in Singapore. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 2000, *84*, 990–992, doi:10.1136/bjo.84.9.990.
- 6. Congdon, N.G.; Quigley, H.A.; Hung, P.T.; Wang, T.H.; Ho, T. Screening techniques for angle-closure glaucoma in rural Taiwan. *Acta Ophthalmol. Scand.* **2009**, *74*, 113–119, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0420.1996.tb00053.x.
- Foster, P.J.; Baasanhu, J.; Alsbirk, P.H.; Munkhbayar, D.; Uranchimeg, D.; Johnson, G.J. Glaucoma in Mongolia. Arch. Ophthalmol. 1996, 114, 1235–1241, doi:10.1001/archopht.1996.01100140435011.
- 8. Wang, J.-C.; Chew, P.T. What is the direct cost of treatment of acute primary angle closure glaucoma? The Singapore model. *Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* **2004**, *32*, 578–583.
- 9. Ang, M.; Baskaran, M.; Werkmeister, R.M.; Chua, J.; Schmidl, D.; dos Santos, V.A.; Garhöfer, G.; Mehta, J.S.; Schmetterer, L. Anterior segment optical coherence tomography. *Prog. Retin. Eye Res.* **2018**, *66*, 132–156.
- Narayanaswamy, A.; Sakata, L.M.; He, M.-G.; Friedman, D.S.; Chan, Y.-H.; Lavanya, R.; Baskaran, M.; Foster, P.J.; Aung, T. Diagnostic Performance of Anterior Chamber Angle Measurements for Detecting Eyes with Narrow Angles. *Arch. Ophthalmol.* 2010, *128*, 1321, doi:10.1001/archophthalmol.2010.231.
- 11. Ting, D.S.; Peng, L.; Varadarajan, A.V.; Keane, P.A.; Burlina, P.M.; Chiang, M.F.; Schmetterer, L.; Pasquale, L.R.; Bressler, N.M.; Webster, D.R.; et al. Deep learning in ophthalmology: The technical and clinical considerations. *Prog. Retin. Eye Res.* **2019**, *72*, 100759.
- 12. Grzybowski, A.; Brona, P.; Lim, G.; Ruamviboonsuk, P.; Tan, G.S.W.; Abramoff, M.; Ting, D.S.W. Artificial intelligence for diabetic retinopathy screening: A review. *Eye* **2020**, *34*, 451–460, doi:10.1038/s41433-019-0566-0.
- 13. Gargeya, R.; Leng, T. Automated Identification of Diabetic Retinopathy Using Deep Learning. *Ophthalmology* **2017**, *124*, 962–969, doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2017.02.008.
- Burlina, P.M.; Joshi, N.; Pekala, M.; Pacheco, K.D.; Freund, D.E.; Bressler, N.M. Automated Grading of Age-Related Macular Degeneration From Color Fundus Images Using Deep Convolutional Neural Networks. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* 2017, 135, 1170–1176, doi:10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2017.3782.
- Grassmann, F.; Mengelkamp, J.; Brandl, C.; Harsch, S.; Zimmermann, M.E.; Linkohr, B.; Peters, A.; Heid, I.M.; Palm, C.; Weber, B.H. A Deep Learning Algorithm for Prediction of Age-Related Eye Disease Study Severity Scale for Age-Related Macular Degeneration from Color Fundus Photography. *Ophthalmology* 2018, 125, 1410–1420, doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.02.037.
- 16. Zheng, C.; Johnson, T.V.; Garg, A.; Boland, M. Artificial intelligence in glaucoma. Curr. Opin. Ophthalmol. 2019, 30, 97–103.
- 17. Xu, B.Y.; Chiang, M.; Chaudhary, S.; Kulkarni, S.; Pardeshi, A.A.; Varma, R. Deep Learning Classifiers for Automated Detection of Gonioscopic Angle Closure Based on Anterior Segment OCT Images. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* **2019**, *208*, 273–280.
- Fu, H.; Xu, Y.; Lin, S.; Wong, D.W.K.; Baskaran, M.; Mahesh, M.; Aung, T.; Liu, J. Angle-Closure Detection in Anterior Segment OCT Based on Multilevel Deep Network. *IEEE Trans. Cybern.* 2019, *50*, 3358–3366.
- Fu, H.; Xu, Y.; Lin, S.; Zhang, X.; Wong, D.W.K.; Liu, J.; Frangi, A.F.; Baskaran, M.; Aung, T. Segmentation and Quantification for Angle-Closure Glaucoma Assessment in Anterior Segment OCT. *IEEE Trans. Med Imaging* 2017, 36, 1930–1938, doi:10.1109/tmi.2017.2703147.
- Van Herick, W.; Shaffer, R.N.; Schwartz, A. Estimation of Width of Angle of Anterior Chamber. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 1969, 68, 626–629.

- Blieden, L.S.; Chuang, A.Z.; Baker, L.A.; Bell, N.P.; Fuller, T.S.; Mankiewicz, K.A.; Feldman, R.M. Optimal Number of Angle Images for Calculating Anterior Angle Volume and Iris Volume Measurements. *Investig. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 2015, 56, 2842– 2847.
- 22. Breiman, L. Random Forests. Mach. Learn. 2001, 45, 5–32, doi:10.1023/a:1010933404324.
- 23. Manwar, R.; Li, X.; Mahmoodkalayeh, S.; Asano, E.; Zhu, D.; Avanaki, K. Deep learning protocol for improved photoacoustic brain imaging. *J. Biophotonics* **2020**, *13*, e202000212.
- Qiu, B.; Huang, Z.; Liu, X.; Meng, X.; You, Y.; Liu, G.; Yang, K.; Maier, A.; Ren, Q.; Lu, Y. Noise reduction in optical coherence tomography images using a deep neural network with perceptually-sensitive loss function. *Biomed. Opt. Express* 2020, 11, 817– 830, doi:10.1364/boe.379551.
- Campbell, P.; Redmond, T.; Agarwal, R.; Marshall, L.R.; Evans, B.J.W. Repeatability and comparison of clinical techniques for anterior chamber angle assessment. *Ophthalmic Physiol. Opt.* 2015, 35, 170–178, doi:10.1111/opo.12200.
- 26. Park, S.B.; Sung, K.R.; Kang, S.Y.; Jo, J.W.; Lee, K.S.; Kook, M.S. Assessment of narrow angles by gonioscopy, Van Herick method and anterior segment optical coherence tomography. *Jpn. J. Ophthalmol.* **2011**, *55*, 343–350.
- Choudhari, N.S.; Chanda, S.; Khanna, R.; Senthil, S.; Garudadri, C.S. Diagnostic Accuracy of Van Herick Technique to Detect Pre-Disease States of Primary Angle Closure Glaucoma in a Resource Constraint Region. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol.* 2019, 26, 175– 182, doi:10.1080/09286586.2018.1562083.
- 28. Zhang, Y.; Li, S.Z.; Li, L.; Thomas, R.; Wang, N.L. The Handan Eye Study: Comparison of Screening Methods for Primary Angle Closure Suspects in a Rural Chinese Population. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol.* **2014**, *21*, 268–275.