









February 2023, Issue 15



# **NEWS & ARTICLES**

## Editorial // Nature Suggests there must be a revolution in the organization and funding of PhD

PhD training is no longer fit for purpose — it needs reform now

training to better suit the needs of the modern world.

Tools such as ChatGPT threaten transparent science; here are

Editorial // Nature Suggests researchers and publishers establish ground rules for the use of large

our ground rules for their use

language models to promote transparent science.

researchers By Smriti Mallapaty // Nature

Disgraced CRISPR-baby scientist's 'publicity stunt' frustrates

Chinese scientist He Jiankui, who created the first children with edited genomes,

during a bioethics event. Prescribing MDMA and psilocybin: who will get the drugs and

refused to answer researchers' questions about his controversial experiments

how will they help? By Tory Shepherd // The Guardian MDMA and psilocybin, 2 mind-altering drugs, have been approved for

prescription in Australia to sufferers of PTSD and depression respectively.

Australia is the first country to legalize these drugs for medical purposes.



Research Ethics

can research ethics learn from the social sciences?

By Jennifer Roest, Busisiwe Nkosi, Janet Seeley, Sassy Molyneux and Maureen Kelley // Bioethics Advocates for research ethics to learn from social science discourse to better

Respecting relational agency in the context of vulnerability: What

characterise and respond to participant autonomy and agency.

Reconsenting paediatric research participants for use of

identifying data By Blake Murdoch, Allison Jandura and Timothy Caulfield // Journal of

Medical Ethics Argues that reconsent for paediatric research participants should be explicit and informed.

Ethical considerations about the collection of biological samples for genetic analysis in clinical trials

By Inés Galende-Domínguez and Octavio M Rivero-Lezcano //

of biological samples in clinical trials should be restricted to accomplishment of main objectives or well justified goals.

How should communities be meaningfully engaged (if at all)

Argues that to protect the genetic information of donor patients, genetic analysis

from the biomedical research community By Josephine Borthwick, Natalia Evertsz and Bridget Pratt // BMC Medical Ethics

Examines perspectives from the biomedical research community on how

when setting priorities for biomedical research? Perspectives

communities should be engaged when setting priorities for biomedical research projects.

## Introduces the idea of the philosophical research study, which works by

## challenging our starting points. This helps to clarify the conditions for more thoughtful and open research ethics discussion.

measures By Pär Segerdahl // The Ethics Blog

Keys to more open debates

By Pär Segerdahl // The Ethics Blog

and accuracy.

Misuse of co-authorship remains a problem despite educational

Reiterates that correctly stated co-authorship is essential for research integrity

**UPCOMING EVENTS** 

The Ethics of Big Data and AI: Issues in Health and Healthcare Prof Julian Savulescu, Dr Owen Schaefer, Dr Victor Cole,

Dr Muralidharan Date: 21, 23, 27, 30 March 2023

Mode: Online **Bioethics for Outer Space** 

Time: 5.30pm to 8.00pm SGT

Dr Victor Cole

Date: 11, 13, 18, 20 July 2023 Time: 6.00pm to 8.30pm SGT Mode: Online

Sign up for our Research Ethics mailing list here.

Unsubscribe from this list