







January 2023, Issue 14



review boards By Daniël Lakens // Nature

Is my study useless? Why researchers need methodological

Advocates for making dedicated methodological review boards a standard

feature at research institutions to improve the quality of research.

A research integrity survey suggests that questionable research practices such

Unearned authorship pervades science

as gift authorship and inadequate peer review could be a widespread problem.

By Dalmeet Singh Chawla // Nature

Abstracts written by ChatGPT fool scientists

By Holly Else // Nature Chatbots are producing convincing fake research paper abstracts that even

scientists are finding difficult to spot. This could undermine research integrity and accuracy.

By Julian Koplin // The Conversation This commentary uses the recent investigations of biotech company Neuralink

Can we ethically justify harming animals for research?

for animal cruelty to discuss when, if ever, animals can be harmed for research.



PUBLICATIONS

ownership in Australia By Yuwan Malakar, Justine Lacey, Natalie A. Twine, Rod McCrea and Denis C. Bauer // European Journal of Human Genetics

perceptions of genomic professionals on patient genomic data

Balancing the safeguarding of privacy and data sharing:

and sharing genomic data for advancing health and medical science can be achieved.

Considers how a responsible balance between safeguarding patients' privacy

Advancing ethics review practices in Al research By Madhulika Srikumar, et al. // Nature Machine Intelligence

Suggests changes that must be made to allow the AI research community to

Effect of medical researchers' creative performance on scientific misconduct: a moral psychology perspective

By Zhang Na, Guo Mingxuan, Jin Chunhua, Xu Zhen // BMC Medical

Investigates the relationship between medical researchers' creative performance and scientific misconduct, providing suggestions to reduce scientific misconduct

in medical research institutions.

By David Resnik // Research Ethics

successfully implement ethics review processes.

Disclosing and managing non-financial conflicts of interest in scientific publications

should be managed by scientific publications by defining their scope.

Addresses a gap in the literature about how non-financial conflicts of interest

How much information is enough? It should be your choice!

decide how much information they want to receive from their physician.

By Sophie Ludewigs, Jonas Narchi, Lukas Kiefer and Eva C. Winkler // JME Blog Suggests a reformulation of the informed consent process that allows patients to

BLOG POSTS

Overcoming and mitigating ethical issues raised by artificial intelligence in health and medicine: The search continues

Calls for research communities, industry, policy makers and other stakeholders to work together to tackle the challenges of realising ethical and fair Al in healthcare and medicine.

By Liu Nan, Kadri Simm and Wu Honghan // Practical Ethics

UPCOMING EVENTS

The Ethics of Big Data and AI: Issues in Health and Healthcare Prof Julian Savulescu, Dr Owen Schaefer, Dr Victor Cole,

Date: 21, 23, 27, 30 March 2023 Time: 5.30pm to 8.00pm SGT

Dr Muralidharan

Mode: Online

Sign up for our Research Ethics mailing list here.

Unsubscribe from this list.