









December 2022, Issue 13



# By Olavo B. Amaral // Nature

To fix peer review, break it into stages

Suggests the peer review process can be improved through modularization to

make published science more trustworthy and save researchers' time.

### By Jon Freeman // Nature Advocates that the US National Science Foundation should collect census data

To fix LGBTQ+ disparities in science, we need the data

about the sexual orientation and gender identity of researchers in order to drive policy decisions.

# research that had yet to be peer reviewed By Alice Fleerackers, Lauren A Maggio // The Conversation

Journalists reporting on the COVID-19 pandemic relied on

Examines the consequences of the pandemic pushing preprint-based journalism

into the mainstream and what can be done in response to this paradigm shift.

## diseases at birth By Linda Geddes // The Guardian A two-year study is underway by Genomics England to determine whether

Genome sequencing trial to test benefits of identifying genetic

genome sequencing of babies at birth can help to speed up diagnosis of rare

genetic diseases.



PUBLICATIONS

## Clarifying the Ethics and Oversight of Chimeric Research By Josephine Johnston et al. // Hasting Center Report

recommendations for how such research should be conducted and overseen.

Identifies key ethical issues in chimeric research and offers ten

Safeguarding research staff "in the field": a blind spot in ethics guidelines

### Fallon Grasham // Research Ethics Highlights the ethical challenges faced by research staff doing data collection in low middle income countries and calls for lead organizations to develop more

comprehensive ethical guidance addressing these challenges

By Lennart Kaplan, Jana Kuhnt, Laura E Picot, Catherine

Engaging key stakeholders to overcome barriers to studying the quality of research ethics oversight By Emily E Anderson et al. // Research Ethics

engage in empirical research about their own activities and performance. The authors offer several ways to increase the participation of HRPP professionals in research as participants, data sharers and as investigators in response.

Lev // Journal of Medical Ethics

Accessing unproven interventions in the COVID-19 pandemic: discussion on the ethics of 'compassionate therapies' in times of catastrophic pandemics

By Shlomit Zuckerman, Yaron Barlavie, Yaron Niv, Dana Arad, Shaul

Points out a gap in research literature by noting that Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) and Human Research Protection Programs (HRPPs) do not typically

The authors explain the limitiations of conducting randomised controlled trials during severe epidemics, citing ethical issues and time constraints. They suggest that using a protocol designed by the World Health Organization

Committee, the Monitored Emergency Use of Unregistered and Investigational Interventions (MEURI), along with the Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) tool will allow

better and more ethical research to be done during such epidemics.

BLOG POSTS

# Extra-corporeal gestation, or why things still stink By Giulia Cavaliere // JME Blog

#### Suggests that discussion of extra-corporeal gestation frequently fails to address the core issue of poor representation of women of color, poor and disabled and pregnant women in medical research.

What is a standard therapy for a new disease? The case of the "standard therapy" for COVID-19

By Livia Puljak // BMC Series Blog Problematizes the use of the term "standard of care" in research about emergent diseases as misleading and uninformative.



The Ethics of Big Data and AI: Issues in Health and Healthcare Prof Julian Savulescu, Dr Owen Schaefer, Dr Victor Cole,

Dr Muralidharan

Date: 21, 23, 27, 30 March 2023 Time: 5.30pm to 8.00pm SGT Mode: Online

Sign up for our Research Ethics mailing list here.

Unsubscribe from this list.